

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/672,232 | 09/25/2003 | Mark J. Chimel | 5677-216 | 8713 |
| 26345 | 7590 11/24/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| • | DEL DEO, DOLAN, | PADEN, CAROLYN A | | |
| 1 RIVERFRONT PLAZA NEWARK, NJ 07102-5497 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1761 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Ţ. | | |
|--|--|--|--|----------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/672,232 | CHIMEL ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Carolyn A. Paden | 1761 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING ENGINEERS IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ENGINEERS IN COMMENTED THE MAILING ENGINEERS IN COMMENTED THE MAILING ENGINEERS IN COMMENTED THE MAILING ENGINEERS IN PROVIDE THE MAILING ENGINEERS IN PROVIDE THE MAILING ENGINEERS IN COMMENTED THE MAIL | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr te, cause the application to become ABANDO | ON. It timely filed om the mailing date of this communi NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | • | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 145 | September 2006. | | | | |
| • | | s action is non-final. | • | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, | 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Dienositi | ion of Claims | · | | | | |
| • | | P 0 | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) 6-10 and 27-44 is/are pending in the | • | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-44</u> is/are withdra | | • | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>6-10 and 27</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement | | | | |
| ا اره | oralin(s) are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | ; | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | : | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac | cepted or b) objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | |
| • | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ction is required if the drawing(s) is | objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 | 121(d). | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached Offi | ce Action or form PTO-15 | 52. | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | : | | | |
| . • | • | | () () - (0 | • | | |
| • | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (a)-(a) or (t). | | | |
| a _,) | All b) Some * c) None of: | Ita haya haan raasiyad | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document | • | ation No | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | • | _ | | |
| | application from the International Burea | | ived in this realistic Stage | C | | |
| * 5 | See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | | ived. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | · : | | | |
| | | | | • | | |
| Attachmen | • • | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) X Infon | the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date various. | | Patent Application | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/672,232

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's election of Group II in the reply filed on September 14, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

- I. Claims 1-5 (now cancelled), drawn to a process for conserving an anti-oxidant, classified in class 426, subclass 541.
- II. Claims 6-10 and 27, drawn to an additive for food, a binder syrup and a method for making a binder syrup, classified in class 426, subclass 660.
- III. Claims 11-19 (now cancelled) and 28-36, drawn to a process for preparing a dry ready to eat food and a granola bar, classified in class 426, subclass 660.
- IV. Claims 20-26 (now cancelled) and 37-44, drawn to a process for preparing chocolate, classified in class 426, subclass 631.

Applicant responded to the requirement for restriction by electing Group II. Claims 28-44 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected Group.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,232

Art Unit: 1761

Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 includes an optional lecithin and/or chocolate component. It is unclear if the lecithin and chocolate component are included or excluded from the composition. An amendment to the claim canceling "optionally" would overcome the rejection. The recitation and/or in claim 10 and 27 is unclear because it is unclear if the chocolate liquor is intended to be included or excluded from the composition. An amendment to the claim clarifying this issue would overcome the rejection.

It is not seen that any and all combinations of syrup and cocoa solids are effective to provide for binder syrup. An amendment to the claims providing an amount of syrup and an amount of cocoa solids would overcome the rejection.

Claims 8-10 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a syrup made of an aqueous solution of nutritive carbohydrate sweeteners and/or sugar substitutes, as disclosed on page 15 of applicants specification, does not reasonably provide enablement for any and all syrup compositions.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,232

Art Unit: 1761

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 8-10 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for binder syrups containing syrup and pretreated solids in the amounts set forth at page 17 of the specification, does not reasonably provide enablement for a binder syrup containing any and all combinations of syrup and solids. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art is directed to cocoa products that have the procyanidin content of the claims without providing a suggestion to add sterol ester in the amount suggested by the claims.

Claims 6-7 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone

Application/Control Number: 10/672,232 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN 11-31-06 PRIMARY EXAMINER 1761